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ABSTRACT

In this paper, a new five-parameter distribution called Marshall-Olkin Gompertz Makeham Distribution (MOGM) is proposed. This new model can be applied to the analysis of lifetime data, engineering, and actuarial studies. In addition, several properties of the proposed model such as mode, moment, Reunyi entropy, Tsallis entropy, quantile function, and decreasing and unimodal hazard rate function were also investigated. The unknown parameters of MOGM distribution were estimated using Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) and Bayes methods. Then, these methods were compared using Monte Carlo simulation and the best estimator was introduced accordingly. Finally, some other applications of the proposed model were illustrated to show its usefulness and efficiency.
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1. Introduction

Gompertz distribution (G), introduced by Gompertz in 1825 ([21] and [10]), is one of the significant distributions in reliability, lifetime data analysis [15], and human mortality studies [22], especially in growth modeling and actuarial tables. Generalization of Gompertz distribution based on the idea given in [3] was proposed by El-Gohary et al. (2013) [8]. This new distribution is known as Generalized Gompertz (GG) distribution and it includes the Exponential (E), Generalized Exponential (GE), and Gompertz (G) distributions. Jafari et al. (2014) [13] proposed a new generalization of Gompertz (G) distribution called Beta-Gompertz (BG) distribution which was the result of the application of the Gompertz distribution to the Beta generator [9].

Back in 1860, Makeham introduced Gompertz Makeham (GM) distribution as a different version of G distribution [16] which was more compatible than G for modeling lifetime data ([4], [19], etc.). Moreover, Jorda [14] studied this family in terms of statistical properties using the Lambert W function. This function proposes a closed-form expression for the quantile function that is one of the remarkable features for GM.

Statistical theories can provide a new distribution by means of some transformations such as methods introduced by Shaw and Buckley (2009) [18]. These transformations have also been applied to introduce a new GM distribution [1], [2], [23].

This study introduces a new extended form of Gompertz Makeham distribution (MOGM) by applying the Marshal-Olkin transformation. First, several statistical properties including hazard rate function, Laplace transform of a probability density function, Reunyi and Tsallis entropies, residual life, reversed residual life functions, and the density of order statistics are carefully investigated. Then, in Section 2, the maximum likelihood and Bayes methods are presented to estimate the parameters of MOGM. In Section 3, by applying the Monte Carlo simulation, a sample random variable is generated from MOGM. In addition, those two methods mentioned in Section 2 are compared to find out which method is more compatible with the estimation of unknown parameters. Finally, to illustrate how this new distribution functions successfully, a real data set is used for comparing MOGM with some other well-known
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distributions. In the following section, some definitions are presented.

**Definition 1.1.** The Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) of the Gompertz Makeham distribution shown in \( GM(\alpha, \alpha_2, \beta, \lambda) \) is ([16]):

\[
F(x; \alpha, \alpha_2, \beta, \lambda) = 1 - e^{(-\alpha x^2 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} x - e^{\beta x})}, \quad x, \alpha, \alpha_2, \beta, \lambda > 0.
\] (1.1)

**Definition 1.2.** The Marshall-Olkin method of introducing a parameter into the family of distributions is ([20]):

\[
\bar{G}(x, p) = \frac{pF(x)}{1 - pF(x)}, \quad x < \alpha, 0 < p < \infty,
\] (1.2)

where \( F(x) = 1 - F \) is a survival function and \( \bar{F} = 1 - p \).

**Definition 1.3.** If \( X \) is a random variable with an absolutely continuous cdf \( F(x) \), the probability density function (pdf) \( f(x) \), and support \( S \); then, Renyi and Tsallis entropies of the random variable \( X \) are defined by ([6])

\[
H_x(X) = \frac{1}{1 - r} \log \int_x f'(x)dx,
\] (1.3)

\[
H_r(X) = -\frac{1}{1 - r} \int \frac{f'(x)dx}{x},
\] (1.4)

for all \( r > 0, (r \neq 1) \).

**Definition 1.4.** If \( X_{(i)} \) is the \( i \)th order statistic of \( n \) random sample of distribution with \( f(x) \) and \( F(x) \), its pdf is calculated as ([17]):

\[
f_{X_{(i)}}(x) = \frac{f(x) \binom{n-1}{i-1} (1 - F(x))^{n-i}}{\beta(i, n-i+1)}, \quad x > 0,
\] (1.5)

where \( \beta \) is the beta function ([5]).

**Definition 1.5.** The residual life is the period from time \( t \) to the time of failure and defined by the conditional random variable \( R(t) := X - t | X > t, t > 0 \); therefore, for \( R(t) \), we have:

\[
S_{R(t)} = \frac{1 - F(x + t)}{1 - F(t)}.
\] (1.6)

and the reversed residual life is the time elapsed from the failure of a given component with the life of \( X_t \leq t \) and is defined as the conditional random variable \( \bar{R}(t) := t - X | X \leq t, t \geq 0 \); therefore, for \( R(t) \), we have:

\[
S_{\bar{R}(t)} = \frac{F(t - x)}{F(t)}.
\] (1.7)

where \( F \) is cdf of \( X \) ([2]).

### 1.1. The proposed model: marshall-olkin gompertz makeham distribution

Now, by substituting Eq. (1.1) into Eq. (1.2), the cdf, generalised pdf, and hazard rate function \( h \) of Marshall-Olkin Gompertz Makeham presented in this paper with \( GM(\alpha, \alpha_2, \beta, \lambda) \) are as follows:

\[
F(x; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta, \lambda, p) = \frac{1 - e^{(-\alpha_1 x^2 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} x - e^{\beta x})}}{1 - \bar{p} e^{(-\alpha_1 x^2 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} x - e^{\beta x})}},
\] (1.8)

\[
h(x; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta, \lambda, p) = \frac{p(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\beta x}) e^{(-\alpha_1 x^2 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} x - e^{\beta x})}}{(1 - \bar{p} e^{(-\alpha_1 x^2 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} x - e^{\beta x})})^2},
\] (1.9)

\[
h(x; \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta, \lambda, p) = \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\beta x}}{1 - \bar{p} e^{(-\alpha_1 x^2 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} x - e^{\beta x})}},
\] (1.10)
for \( p = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) for all \( x, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta, \lambda, p > 0 \).

Figures 1 (a,b,c) show \( f(x, p) \), \( F(x, p) \), and \( h(x, p) \) functions of the MOGM distribution for some values of \( p \), respectively. As seen in Figure (1), \( f(x) \) is the decreasing function and unimodal for every \( p \), and while \( P \) is the increasing function, which looks like a uniform density one. In the following, given that the random variable of \( X \) has a distribution with PDF Eq. (1.9), we have:

- The mode is obtained by the following solution:

\[
\frac{\partial f(x, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta, \lambda, p)}{\partial x} = e^{(-\alpha_1 \beta x + \alpha_2 \beta (1 - e^{\beta x}))} \{\alpha_2 \beta e^{\beta x} - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\beta x})^2 (1 + 2 \bar{p} e^{-(\alpha_1 \beta x - \alpha_2 \beta (1 - e^{\beta x}))})\} = 0
\]

with respect to \( x \).

- The Laplace transform of PDF and the central moment:

\[
E(e^{-sX}) = \lambda p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \left( \frac{-2}{k} \right) \left( \frac{l}{m} \right) \right) (\alpha_2 \lambda (k + 1))^i (m \beta)^j (\alpha_1 (k + 1))^i (-1)^{2i+m} \beta^l! j! i! \right) \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_2 \beta^n \Gamma((j + i + 1 + n) \lambda) \right) \} \right)
\]

and

\[
E(X') = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E(e^{-sX}) \bigg|_{s=0} = \lambda p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \left( \frac{-2}{k} \right) \left( \frac{l}{m} \right) \right) (\alpha_2 \lambda (k + 1))^i (m \beta)^j (\alpha_1 (k + 1))^i (-1)^{2i+m+1} \beta^l! j! i! \right) \right) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_2 (j + i + 1 + n) \lambda \beta^n \Gamma((j + i + 1 + n) \lambda) \right) \} \right) \left( \frac{j + i + 1 + n}{n! \Gamma((j + i + 1 + n) \lambda + 1)} \right) \} \right)
\]
• The Rényi entropy is driven by substituting Eq. (1.9) in Eq. (1.3):

\[
H_{\text{R}}(X) = \frac{1}{1-r} \log\left\{ (\lambda, p) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h(m, j, l, k) \right\} \frac{1}{\lambda i!h!\beta^{i+h}} \times (1 + (1 - \frac{1}{\lambda})(r-1)) \]

\[\left[ \beta(m + r - j + l) - \alpha_i (r + k) \right]^{(\frac{1}{\lambda})(r-1)+1} \}

• The Tsallis entropy is also derived by substituting Eq. (1.9) in Eq. (1.4):

\[
H_{\text{T}}(X) = (\lambda, p) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h(m, j, l, k) \right\} \frac{1}{\lambda i!h!\beta^{i+h}} \times e^{x^i (\beta(m+r-j+l) - \alpha_i (r+k))}

• The survival function and reversed survival function of the residual life time, \( R(t) \) and \( R(t) \), for the MOGM distribution are obtained by substituting Eq. (1.1) in Eqs. (1.6) and (1.7), respectively:

\[
S_{R_{1\text{st}}}(x) = e^{-\gamma_{1\text{st}} - \gamma_{1\text{st}} \left( 1 - e^{-\alpha_1 \beta} \right)} \]

\[
S_{R_{2\text{nd}}}(x) = e^{-\gamma_{2\text{nd}} - \gamma_{2\text{nd}} \left( 1 - e^{-\alpha_1 \beta} \right)} \]

• The quantile function of the MOGM: the following theorem is presented with respect to this case.

**Theorem 1.6**. The quantile function of the MOGM by applying definition of \( W_0 \) to [14] is

\[
Q(u) = \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} - \log\left( \frac{1-u}{1-\mu} \right) - \frac{1}{\beta} W_0\left( \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} \log\left( \frac{1-u}{1-\mu} \right) \right),
\]

Where

\[
W_0(z) \approx (1 + \varepsilon)\left( \frac{6z}{5 \log(1 + \frac{12}{k} z)} \right) - \varepsilon \log\left( \frac{2z}{\log(1 + 2z)} \right), z \geq 0, \varepsilon = 0.4586887.
\]

Proof. By setting \( 1 - \mu e^{-\alpha_1 \beta (1 - e^{-x})} \), we have:

\[
\alpha_1 x + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} e^{\beta x} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} - \log\left( \frac{1-u}{1-\mu} \right)
\]

\[
\Rightarrow x = \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} - \log\left( \frac{1-u}{1-\mu} \right) - \frac{1}{\beta} W_0\left( \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} \log\left( \frac{1-u}{1-\mu} \right) \right).
\]
In the following, the sub-models and the order statistics of MOGM are discussed.

1.2. Sub-models
The MOGM distribution consists of several important sub-models that are widely used in lifetime modeling. These sub-models are:
• When \( p=1 \), we have the Gompertz Makeham distribution (GM).
• When \( p=1, \alpha_1=0 \), we have the Gompertz distribution (G).
• When \( p=1, \alpha_2=0 \) and \( \lambda=1 \), we have the exponential distribution (E).
• When \( p=1, \alpha_2=0 \) and \( \alpha_1=1 \), we have the Weibull \((\lambda, 1)\) distribution (Weib).

1.3. The order statistics of MOGM
By substituting Eq. (1.9) in Eq. (1.5), we have:

\[ f_{i:n}(x) = \frac{\lambda(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\beta x}) e^{-\alpha_1 x - \alpha_2 (1 - e^{\beta x})}}{\beta(i, n-i+1)(1-\bar{p}e)} \left(1-e^{-\alpha_1 x - \alpha_2 (1 - e^{\beta x})}\right)^{i-1} \left(1-\bar{p}e\right)^{n-i}. \]  

(1.13)

Now, by using the expansions of \((1-e^{-\alpha_1 x - \alpha_2 (1 - e^{\beta x})})^{i-1}\), \((1-\bar{p}e)^{n-3}\), \((e^{-\alpha_1 x - \alpha_2 (1 - e^{\beta x})})_{n+k+j+1}\), \((-\alpha_1 x + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta}(1-e^{\beta x}))^m\), \((1-e^{\beta x})^{m-p}\), and \(e^{\beta(m-p-d)x}\), we have

\[ f_{i:n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \sum_{m-c}^{\infty} \sum_{m-c}^{\infty} \sum_{d=0}^{m-c} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i-1)(j)(m-c)(d)}{\beta(i, n-i+1)g!} \frac{p^{n-i-k-m-d} \alpha_1 \alpha_2^{m-c} x^{c+g}}{\bar{p}^{k} \alpha_1^{(m-c)}(c+g+1)}. \]

(1.14)

• The Laplace transform of pdf and the central moment by using the expansion in the above item is given below:

\[ E(e^{-\lambda x}) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda x} f_{i:n}(x)dx \]

\[ = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \sum_{m-c}^{\infty} \sum_{m-c}^{\infty} \sum_{d=0}^{m-c} \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i-1)(j)(m-c)(d)}{\beta(i, n-i+1)g!} \frac{p^{n-i-k-m-d} \alpha_1 \alpha_2^{m-c} x^{c+g}}{\bar{p}^{k} \alpha_1^{(m-c)}(c+g+1)}. \]

2. Estimation of Parameters
In this section, two methods for estimating the parameters of MOGM distribution are presented. Then, in the next section, the methods are compared using the Monte Carlo simulation [12].

2.1. Maximum likelihood estimator (MLE)
In this subsection, consider a random sample of \(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n\) in Eq. (1.9). To simplify the calculation, we set \( \lambda = 1 \).

Now, the parameters are estimated by MLE method. The logarithm of the likelihood function is as follows:

\[ L_n = \log L(x, \Theta) = n \log p + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\beta x_i}) - \alpha_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1-e^{\beta x_i}) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(A), \]
where \( A = 1 - \frac{a_2}{b^2} e^{b \xi_1} \) and \( C = \frac{a_2}{b^2} (1 - e^{b \xi_1}) + \frac{a_2}{b} \xi_1 e^{b \xi_1} \).

The maximum likelihood estimation of \( \Theta \) denoted by \( \hat{\Theta} \) is obtained using a set of equations shown below:

\[
\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial \alpha_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\beta \xi_i}} + \frac{2(1-A)}{A} \right] = 0, \tag{2.1}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial \alpha_2} = \frac{e^{\beta \xi_i}}{A} + \frac{2(1-A)}{\beta A} (1-e^{\beta \xi_i})], \tag{2.2}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial \alpha_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\alpha_2 x_i e^{\beta \xi_i}}{A} - C(1+2-A) \right] = 0, \tag{2.3}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial L_n}{\partial \beta} = \frac{n}{p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1-A}{A} = 0. \tag{2.4}
\]

Of note, in each of the above equations, other parameters were considered as known. Regarding as closed forms, the estimates must be obtained by numerical methods such as Monte Carlo simulation. According to Gupta and Kundu (1999) [11], in the large sample size, \( \hat{\Theta} \) has a univariate normal distribution with a mean vector of \( \hat{\Theta} \) and covariance matrix of \( I^{-1} (\hat{\Theta}) \), where:

\[
I^{-1}(\hat{\Theta}) = \begin{bmatrix}
I_{\alpha_1, \alpha_1} & I_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} & I_{\alpha_1, \beta} & I_{\alpha_1, \hat{\beta}} \\
I_{\alpha_2, \alpha_2} & I_{\alpha_2, \alpha_1} & I_{\alpha_2, \beta} & I_{\alpha_2, \hat{\beta}} \\
I_{\beta, \beta} & I_{\beta, \alpha_1} & I_{\beta, \alpha_2} & I_{\beta, \hat{\beta}} \\
I_{\hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}} & I_{\hat{\beta}, \alpha_1} & I_{\hat{\beta}, \alpha_2} & I_{\hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}}
\end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
\text{var}(\hat{\alpha}_1) & \text{cov}(\hat{\alpha}_1, \hat{\alpha}_2) & \text{cov}(\hat{\alpha}_1, \hat{\beta}) & \text{cov}(\hat{\alpha}_1, \hat{\beta}) \\
\text{cov}(\hat{\alpha}_2) & \text{cov}(\hat{\alpha}_2, \hat{\beta}) & \text{cov}(\hat{\alpha}_2, \hat{\beta}) & \text{cov}(\hat{\alpha}_2, \hat{\beta}) \\
\text{var}(\hat{\beta}) & \text{cov}(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) & \text{var}(\hat{\beta}) & \text{var}(\hat{\beta})
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \alpha_1^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\beta \xi_i})^2} + \frac{2x_i^2}{A^2} - \frac{2x_i^2}{A} \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \alpha_2^2} = \frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \alpha_2^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\beta \xi_i}}{A} \right] + \frac{2(1-e^{\beta \xi_i})^2}{\beta A^2},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \alpha_2^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\beta \xi_i}}{A} - C(1+2) \right] - \frac{2A}{A}(1-A)(1+\frac{\beta}{C})e^{\beta \xi_i} + C(1-A)),
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \beta^2} = \frac{n}{p^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{A} \right]^2,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \alpha_1 \partial \alpha_2} = \frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \alpha_1 \partial \alpha_2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\alpha_2 x_i e^{\beta \xi_i}}{A} + \frac{2x_i (1-e^{\beta \xi_i})(1-A)}{A^2} \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \alpha_2 \partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{-\alpha_2 x_i e^{\beta \xi_i}}{A} + \frac{2(1-A)}{A} C, \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \alpha_1 \partial \hat{\beta}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{-\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 e^{\beta \xi_i}}{A} \right],
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \alpha_2 \partial \hat{\beta}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{-\alpha_2 x_i e^{\beta \xi_i}}{A^2} - \frac{C}{A} + \frac{1-A}{p}(-2 \beta x_i e^{\beta \xi_i} - \beta C(1-A)e^{\alpha_1 \xi_i})), \right]
\[ \frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \alpha_2 \partial \beta p} = -2 \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ (1 - e^{\beta x_i}) \left( \frac{1 - A}{A^2} \right) \right], \]
\[ \frac{\partial^2 L_n}{\partial \beta \partial p} = 2 \frac{\beta}{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{1 - A}{A^2} \left( \frac{1 - e^{\beta x_i}}{\beta} + x_i e^{\beta x_i} \right) \right]. \]

The approximate \((1-\varepsilon)100\%\) confidence intervals for the parameters \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta, p\) and \(\lambda\) are determined, respectively, in the following:

\[ \hat{\alpha}_1 \pm Z_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var}(\hat{\alpha}_1)}{n}}, \hat{\alpha}_2 \pm Z_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var}(\hat{\alpha}_2)}{n}}, \hat{\beta} \pm Z_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var}(\hat{\beta})}{n}}, \hat{p} \pm Z_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var}(\hat{p})}{n}}, \hat{\lambda} \pm Z_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda})}{n}}, \]

where \(\text{Var}(\hat{\alpha}_1), \text{Var}(\hat{\alpha}_2), \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}), \text{Var}(\hat{p})\) and \(\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda})\) are given by the diagonal elements of \(I^{-1}(\hat{\Theta})\) and \(Z_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}\) is the upper \(2\varepsilon\) percentile of the standard normal distribution.

2.2. Bayes estimator (BE)

In estimating the parameters of MOGM distribution by the Bayes method, it was assumed that the previous information of \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta, p\) was independent of each other, thus \(\pi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta, p) = \pi(\alpha_1)\pi(\alpha_2)\pi(\beta)\pi(p)\). In this method, two prior distributions, i.e., exponential and Weibull, via the Monte Carlo simulation were employed. It is not easy to calculate the denominators of the posterior distributions since they have four integrals; therefore, importance sampling method was employed [24]. In the relevant section, the results are presented.

Fig. 2. Comparison of \(\alpha_1\) estimators

3. Simulation Studies

As observed in the results in Section (2), the estimates obtained through MLE (Equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4)) and BE methods do not have a closed form. This is why suitable numerical methods were employed to achieve these estimators. To this end, in MATLAB software, random numbers from the MOGM distribution with the parameters \(\alpha_{\text{true}} = 2, \alpha_{\text{true}} = 5, \beta_{\text{true}} = 3, \) and \(p_{\text{true}} = 0.6\) were initially generated. Then, the parameters of this distribution were estimated using MLE and BE methods. This process was repeated for \(j = 1000\) times. Through these repetitions, a set of estimates, i.e., \(\alpha_{\text{true}}, \alpha_{\text{true}}, \beta_{\text{true}}, \) and \(p_{\text{true}}\)
was achieved. To compare these two methods, the mean of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta$ and $p$ was used and it could be calculated as follows:

$$
\bar{\alpha}_1 = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \alpha_{1k}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_2 = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \alpha_{2k}, \quad \bar{\beta} = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} \beta_k, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{p} = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^{j} p_k
$$

where $K$ index represents the estimate in the $K$th repetition. It should be noted that in the BE method, two prior distributions, i.e., exponential and Weibull, were employed and for both prior distributions in the denominator of posterior distributions, a four-fold integral, which is not a simple calculation, is required. In order to resolve this problem, the "importance sampling" method is used. To investigate the effect of sample size on estimates, the above process is repeated for samples of sizes of $n = 3, 5, 7, ..., 59$. To compare these two methods, the criteria including Biased (Bias), Variance (Var), and Mean of Square Error (MSE) are used. The summarized results are shown in Figures (2), (3), (4), and (5).

Fig. 3. Comparison of $\alpha_2$ estimators

In the following, the results of estimating the parameters are analyzed. Figures (2), (3), and (4) show that the BE method, especially with the prior Weibull, provides estimates that are close to real value. In terms of comparative criteria (var, bias, and MSE), the BE method is also appropriate for the prior Weibull. Further, with an increase in the sample size in MLE method, the estimates will be very close to the real values and their fluctuations will decrease; in addition, the MLE method is quite suitable in terms of comparative criteria. According to Figure (5), to estimate $p$, MLE method is particularly suitable for large sample sizes and other comparative criteria confirm it. The BE method with an exponential prior can also be considered as the second option to estimate $p$.

4. Application with A Real Data Set

The MOGM distribution can be a good lifetime model. In order to achieve this purpose, real data is used. Also, to understand the superiority of this model over other known models, indexes AIC, CAIC, and BIC are compared with other models including the exponential, Generalized exponential, Gompertz, Generalized Gompertz, and Beta Gompertz distributions (ED, GE, G, GG, BG) (see [7]). Consider the data obtained from Aarset. The data represent the lifetimes of 50 devices and also, possess a bathtub-shaped failure rate property.
The data include the following values ([7]): 0.1, 0.2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 11, 12, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 18, 21, 32, 36, 40, 45, 46, 47, 50, 55, 60, 63, 67, 67, 67, 67, 72, 75, 79, 82, 82, 83, 84, 84, 84, 85, 85, 85, 85, 86, 86.

Table (2) lists the values of the maximum likelihood estimation of distribution parameters obtained by different methods. Table (3) includes Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Consistent Akaike Information Criterion (CAIC), and corresponding Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) for the models in Table (2). The formulas of these criteria are summarized in Table (1), where $L$ is the log likelihood function, $q$ is the number of parameters, and $n$ is sample size. The AIC, BIC and, CAIC are the measures of the goodness of fit tests for an estimated statistical model. The model with smaller values of AIC, BIC, and CAIC is the preferred model.

The required numerical evaluations are implemented using the $R$ software. As seen in Table (3), AIC, BIC, CAIC values of the MOGM distribution are lower than those of other distributions. Therefore, it can be concluded that the MOGM is a good distribution for fitting this dataset. Some other results can also be obtained by examining Figures (6), (7), and (8). These shapes also confirm that MOGM distribution is
more appropriate than other distributions for fitting data.

5. Conclusion

The present study introduced a new distribution based on Gompertz Makeham distribution and Marshall-Olkin transformation and investigated some of its basic statistical and mathematical properties. In addition, unknown parameters were estimated using MLE and BE methods. According to results of simulations, for $\alpha$, $\alpha_2$, and $\beta$, the BE method, especially with the prior Weibull for the low sample size and the MLE method for the large sample size is recommended. Further, to estimate $p$, the MLE method for large sample sizes and the BE method with the exponential prior for small sample sizes are appropriate. Moreover, by using a set of real data, the MOGM distribution can be a good lifetime model compared to many known distributions.

### Tab. 1. Goodness-of-fit criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goodness-of-fit</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Akaike information criterion</td>
<td>$AIC = -2L + 2q$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayesian information criterion</td>
<td>$BIC = -2L + q \log(n)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistent Akaike information</td>
<td>$CAIC = -2L + \frac{2qn}{n-q-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tab. 2. MLEs for real data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>$\hat{\alpha}_1$</th>
<th>$\hat{\alpha}_2$</th>
<th>$\hat{\beta}$</th>
<th>$\hat{\lambda}$</th>
<th>$\hat{p}$</th>
<th>$-L$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.0219</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>241.0896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE</td>
<td>0.0212</td>
<td>0.9012</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>240.3855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00970</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>235.3308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2625</td>
<td>0.00010</td>
<td>0.0828</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>222.2441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>0.2158</td>
<td>0.2467</td>
<td>0.00030</td>
<td>0.0882</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>220.6714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGE-G</td>
<td>0.0400</td>
<td>0.1940</td>
<td>0.000345</td>
<td>0.0780</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>215.9735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOGM</td>
<td>$9 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$9 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.0005</td>
<td>27 $\times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>200.065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tab. 3. Goodness-of-fit statistics corresponding to data set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribution</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>CAIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>484.1792</td>
<td>484.2625</td>
<td>486.0912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GE</td>
<td>484.7710</td>
<td>485.0264</td>
<td>488.5951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>474.6617</td>
<td>475.1834</td>
<td>482.3977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>450.4881</td>
<td>451.0099</td>
<td>456.2242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>449.3437</td>
<td>450.2326</td>
<td>456.9918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGE-G</td>
<td>423.9470</td>
<td>424.8359</td>
<td>447.5951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOGM</td>
<td>410.1301</td>
<td>411.4937</td>
<td>419.6902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig. 6. Graph of survival function of compared distributions

Fig. 7. Graph of empirical density and cdf of MOGM distribution corresponds to data set

Fig. 8. Q-Q plot for MOGM and Gamma distribution corresponding to the data set
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